

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/942,165	ASAMI, AKIKO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Boris Pesin	2174	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After Final

(1) Boris Pesin.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jay H. Maioli.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2005

**Time:** 4:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner asked the Attorney what exactly was meant by the phrasing "that represents a vicissitude of said unit of time" and why it was removed from the claims 1 and 15. The Attorney and the Examiner agreed to reinsert a modified version of the wording back into the independent claims via an Examiner's Amendment.